


# Report for 2018 Winter Meeting Evaluation

## Response Counts


Completion Rate:	89.9%	
	Complete	80
	Partial	9
		Totals: 89

## 1. How did you attend the meeting?




Value	Percent	Responses
In person	96.6%	85
Remotely	3.4%	3
		Totals: 88

## 2. How are you affiliated with ESIP?



Value	Percent	Responses
ESIP Member	72.9%	62
Prospective Member	9.4%	8
Sponsor	4.7%	4
Agency Contact	4.7%	4
Student	4.7%	4
Teacher	3.5%	3
<u>Other (click to view)</u>	12.9%	11

### 3. What were your objectives for attending the Federation meeting? (check all that apply)



Value	Percent	Responses
Networking	80.5%	70
Education/Professional Development	55.2%	48
Business Development	18.4%	16
Idea Exchange	82.8%	72

Value	Percent	Responses
Seeking Funding Opportunities	12.6%	11
Seeking Collaborators	44.8%	39
<a href="#">Other (click to view)</a>	12.6%	11

#### 4. General meeting observations

	5
The 2018 ESIP Winter Meeting was a valuable use of my time.	
Count	5
Row %	6
I had sufficient opportunity to network with others.	
Count	4
Row %	5
The annual theme, 'Promote techniques to articulate and measure the socioeconomic value and benefit of Earth science data, information and applications', is relevant to me.	
Count	3
Row %	3
Totals	
Total Responses	

#### 5. How would you rate the quality of the ESIP Meeting Sessions?


	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	Responses
Meeting Welcome + Overview							
Count	43	33	1	0	0	8	85
Row %	50.6%	38.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	9.4%	
Plenary Speakers							
Count	38	33	8	1	0	5	85
Row %	44.7%	38.8%	9.4%	1.2%	0.0%	5.9%	
State of the Federation Presentation							
Count	34	22	8	0	0	16	80
Row %	42.5%	27.5%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	
Breakout Sessions							
Count	32	39	5	1	1	6	84
Row %	38.1%	46.4%	6.0%	1.2%	1.2%	7.1%	
Totals							
Total Responses							85

#### 6. In order to improve the meeting quality please rate the following items

Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	Responses


	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Not Applicable	Responses
Audio Visual Services							
Count	45	31	6	0	1	2	85
Row %	52.9%	36.5%	7.1%	0.0%	1.2%	2.4%	
Location of Meeting							
Count	37	30	11	4	0	0	82
Row %	45.1%	36.6%	13.4%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	
Conference Facilities							
Count	55	24	3	0	0	0	82
Row %	67.1%	29.3%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Food Quality							
Count	53	27	2	0	0	0	82
Row %	64.6%	32.9%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
WiFi							
Count	45	24	6	4	0	5	84
Row %	53.6%	28.6%	7.1%	4.8%	0.0%	6.0%	
ESIP staff support for the meeting							
Count	73	9	1	0	0	1	84
Row %	86.9%	10.7%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	
Totals							
Total Responses							85

7. For the next Winter Meeting which location choice would serve your needs best?




Value	Percent	Responses
I'm happy with North Bethesda as the location of this meeting	32.9%	28
I would prefer to be in downtown DC	28.2%	24
I would prefer another city	12.9%	11
I don't care	25.9%	22
		Totals: 85

8. If you answered "I would prefer another city" above, please let us know where:



14 Total Responses | [Show Responses](#)

9. ESIP is considering changing the timing of the Winter Meeting to Mid-February. This would give members more opportunities to prepare for the meeting after the busy AGU/Holiday season. How would this affect your participation in the Winter Meeting? [PICK AS MANY STATEMENTS AS FIT YOUR SITUATION]




Value	Percent	Responses
This move makes no difference to me.	51.8%	44
This move will improve my ability to prepare and attend the meeting	51.8%	44
This move will hinder my participation in the meeting	2.4%	2
I agree that having more time after December is a good idea.	51.8%	44

10. If you have a comment about changing the timing of the Winter Meeting please let us know.




[Show Responses](#)

11. Was the State of the Federation informative?




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	82.8%	53
Did not attend	12.5%	8
<a href="#">Other - Write In (Required) (click to view)</a>	4.7%	3
		Totals: 64

12. During this meeting, did you want to attend two sessions held at the same time?




Value	Percent	Responses
Sometimes, but not always	70.6%	60
Yes, almost always	11.8%	10
No, I have single-track interests	17.6%	15
		<b>Totals: 85</b>

13. Did you upload your session content or a poster to Figshare?




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	22.1%	17
No	77.9%	60
		<b>Totals: 77</b>

14. Did you visit the ESIP Figshare Portal to view content?



Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	33.3%	27
No	66.7%	54
		Totals: 81


15. Were there problems in using Figshare for submission of session information or finding/viewing ESIP content, and, if so, can you recommend any changes?



[Show Responses](#)

16. Did you use the meeting Sched application?





Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	66.3%	53
No	33.8%	27
		Totals: 80

17. Was there any additional information you would like to have linked to sessions within Sched?



[Show Responses](#)

18. In what ways did the Sched app add (or not) value to you?



[Show Responses ▸](#)

---

19. How would you improve the Sched App in the future?



[Show Responses ▸](#)

---

20. Were there problems in using ESIP GoTo Meetings, and, if so, can you recommend any changes?

problems  
easiest  
time

[Show Responses ▸](#)

---


21. Do you have any other suggestions for making remote participation better or more interactive?

time

[Show Responses ▸](#)


---

22. The #ESIPFed Twitter stream was useful for me.




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes.	43.9%	36
No.	12.2%	10
I didn't know about it.	7.3%	6
I don't use Twitter.	36.6%	30
		Totals: 82

23. How often do you attend ESIP meetings?




Value	Percent	Responses
Less than once a year	6.4%	5
Once a year	29.5%	23
Twice a year	46.2%	36
First meeting	17.9%	14
		Totals: 78

24. 250-300 people typically attend ESIP's meetings. Can you quantify (in percentage) the number of people you think you know at ESIP?




Value	Percent	Responses
60%	14.3%	11
40%	19.5%	15
20%	59.7%	46
0%	6.5%	5
		Totals: 77

25. Before coming to ESIP, do you look at the attendee list and zero-in on the people you would like to network with?




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	39.7%	31
No	60.3%	47
		Totals: 78

26. Have you made any successful or unsuccessful collaboration out of people you met at ESIP meetings (these could be people you knew before but met at ESIP)?




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	81.6%	62
No	18.4%	14
		Totals: 76

27. Can you quantify the number of collaborations?



28. How would you like to network with the suggested people?



Value	Percent	Responses
Meet them directly in person at the ESIP meeting	63.4%	45
Be introduced through email	9.9%	7
Be introduced through a common acquaintance	15.5%	11
<a href="#">Other (click to view)</a>	11.3%	8
		Totals: 71

29. Any other comment/observation that can help us improve your researcher networking or collaboration experience




[Show Responses ▶](#)

30. What topic areas or themes would you like to see covered during future meetings?



[Show Responses ▾](#)

31. Would you like to host an ESIP meeting at your institution?




Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	10.1%	7
No	89.9%	62
Totals: 69		

32. What improvements would you suggest for future meetings?



33. Would you like to participate in ESIP Visioneers to organize a future meeting?



Value	Percent	Responses
Yes	20.3%	14
No	79.7%	55
		Totals: 69

34. Email



15 Total Responses | Show Responses▾

35. Anything else you'd like to tell us?